FILED IN THE U.S. DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTO

May 01, 2018 SEAN F. MCAVOY, CLERK

2:17-CV-00062-SMJ

ORDER DENYING PLAINTIFF'S **MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION OR TO** ALTER OR AMEND JUDGMENT

20

of state law; SHANNON SULLIVAN,

individually and in her official capacity

1	acting under the color of state law; SUSAN STEINER, individually and in
2	her official capacity acting under the
	color of state law; CAMERON
3	NORTON, individually and in his
	official capacity acting under the color
4	of state law; SARAH OASE,
	individually and in her official capacity
5	acting under the color of state law;
	RANA PULLOM, individually and in
6	her official capacity acting under the
	color of state law; DONALD
7	WILLIAMS, individually and in his
	official capacity under the color of state
8	law; CHRIS MEJIA, individually and in
	his official capacity acting under the
9	color of state law; RIVERSIDE
	SCHOOL DISTRICT NO. 416, a
10	Municipal corporation duly organized
	and existing under the laws of
11	Washington State; JUANITA
	MURRAY, individually and in her
12	official capacity acting under the color
	of state law; ROBERTA KRAMER,
13	individually and in her official capacity
	acting under the color of state law;
14	SARAH RAMSDEN, individually and
	in her official capacity acting under the
15	color of state law; CAROLINE
	RAYMOND, individually and in her
16	official capacity acting under the color
	of state law; CHERI MCQUESTEN,
17	individually and in her official capacity
	acting under the color of state law;
18	SARAH RAMSEY, individually and in
	her official capacity acting under the
19	color of state law; TAMI BOONE,
	individually and in her official capacity
20	acting under the color of state law;
	MELISSA REED, individually and in

her official capacity acting under the 1 color of state law; ANN STOPAR, individually and in her official capacity 2 acting under the color of state law; KRISTINA GRIFFITH, individually 3 and in her official capacity acting under the color of state law: WENDY SUPANCHICK, individually and in her official capacity acting under the color 5 of state law; SHERRY DORNQUAST, individually and in her official capacity 6 acting under the color of state law; GARY VANDERHOLM, individually 7 and in his official capacity acting under the color of state law; ROGER PRATT, 8 individually and in his official capacity acting under the color of state law; 9 CHRIS NIEUWENHUIS, individually and in his official capacity acting under 10 the color of state law and JOHN DOES 1-50, individually and in their official 11 capacities acting under the color of state law, 12 Defendants. 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

Before the Court, without oral argument, is Plaintiff Barbara Davis's FRCP 59(e) Motion to Alter or Amend March 26, 2018 Judgment Dismissing 42 U.S.C. § 1983 Claims against Sarah Oase, ECF No. 283. Davis argues that the Court should reconsider its order granting summary judgment on the § 1983 claims against Defendant Oase because attorneys for the DSHS Defendants made incorrect representations about DSHS's home study policy in 2014 and regarding Oase's compliance with DSHS policy. ECF No. 283 at 45. But assuming this is true, it does

not affect the Court's rational for finding that Oase is entitled to qualified immunity on Davis's § 1983 claims:

On the record before the Court, Oase had no obvious reason to believe Kaas was falsifying records concerning her health and safety visits, or to suspect that there was a problem with the Khaleel home. Moreover, she was no longer Kaas's supervisor during the time in which it appears DSHS discovered Kaas was falsifying records. The record does not support that a reasonable official would have inferred a substantial risk of serious harm existed. Accordingly, Oase is entitled to qualified immunity on Plaintiff's § 1983 claims.

ECF No. 281 at 23. Even if a home study should have been completed prior to G.B's placement with Khaleel pursuant to DSHS policy, the record does not support deliberate indifference to a substantial risk of serious harm on the part of Oase.

Accordingly, **IT IS HEREBY ORDERED**:

Plaintiff's FRCP 59(e) Motion to Alter or Amend March 26, 2018
Judgment Dismissing Claims against Sarah Oase, ECF No. 283, is
DENIED.

IT IS SO ORDERED. The Clerk's Office is directed to enter this Order and provide copies to all counsel.

DATED this 1st day of May 2018.

S.LVADOR MENLO ZA, JR United States District Julge